



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,235	11/04/2003	Robert A. West	03-987	5256
20306	7590	12/19/2008		
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP			EXAMINER	
300 S. WACKER DRIVE			BARTLEY, KENNETH	
32ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606			3693	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		12/19/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/701,235	Applicant(s) WEST, ROBERT A.
	Examiner KENNETH L. BARTLEY	Art Unit 3693

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KENNETH L. BARTLEY. (3) _____.

(2) Adam Faier (Appl. Rep.). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 December 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent No. 7068288 to Good et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues Good does not teach a pre-arranged state for a particular layout. Examiner notes Good teaches moving the layout. Examiner to review Good and search other art if necessary. Also, Applicant argued 112, 2nd issues. Examiner feels "defining" is indefinite, but issue can be fixed later if necessary.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kenneth L Bartley/
Examiner, Art Unit 3693